

Supplemental Information

Group A Streptococcal M1 Protein Provides Resistance against the Antimicrobial Activity of Histones

Simon Döhrmann¹, Christopher N. LaRock¹, Ericka L. Anderson¹, Jason N. Cole¹,
Brinda Ryali¹, Chelsea Stewart², Poochit Nonejuie³, Joe Pogliano³, Ross Corriden^{1,4},
Partho Ghosh² and Victor Nizet^{*1,5,6}

Contents:

Supplemental Materials and Methods

Figure S1

Figure S2

Figure S3

Figure S4

Table S1

Supplemental Materials and Methods

Histone H2A cytotoxicity against human cells

One day prior to the assay, 2×10^5 human epithelia A549 cells (ATCC CCL-185; USA) were seeded in 200 μ L RPMI + 10 % fetal bovine serum (FBS; Life Technologies) and cultivated at 37 °C, 5 % CO₂. Prior to the assay, cells were washed once with DPBS. Either 2×10^5 neutrophils or A549 cells were seeded in a 96 well-plate in RPMI + 0.2 % BSA. Cytotoxicity was measured after incubating cells with 1, 10, 100 and 500 μ g/mL histone H2A in 200 μ L RPMI + 0.2 % BSA for 1 h at 37 °C, 5 % CO₂. Dead cells were stained with 1.5 μ M propidium iodide (PI) (Life Technologies) and incubated for 5 min prior to analysis. Cells were imaged via fluorescence microscopy imaging using a Zeiss Observer D1 microscope with 20x objective and cell death was quantified by flow cytometry at FL-2 channel. Flow cytometry data was analyzed using FlowJo v. 8.8.7.

M1 protein expression on the GAS cell surface

M1 protein expression on GAS WT and $\Delta emm1$ mutant bacteria was analyzed by incubation of bacteria with mouse anti-M1 serum or naïve serum (1:100 dilution) for 30 min followed by goat anti-mouse Alexa 488 (Life Technologies) for analysis of a

total of 50,000 bacteria in FI-1 channel using flow cytometry. For EM, log-phase bacteria were washed twice and fixed with 2 % PFA followed by incubation with mouse anti-M1 antiserum (1:100 dilution). Secondary goat anti-mouse immunogold-labeled antibodies of 10 nm size were used (1:50 dilution). Fixed samples were visualized using a Tecnai G2 Spirit BioTWIN transmission electron microscope. Random images were taken at 13,000 x, 23,000 x and 49,000 x with an Eagle 4 k HS digital camera.




Figure S1. Histone-induced cytotoxicity at 1, 10, 100 and 500 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ histone H2A determined by PI against (A) neutrophils and (B) A549 cells quantified using flow cytometry. (C) Visualization of histone-induced cytotoxicity in A549 cells by PI staining with fluorescent microscopy at 20x magnification. Results shown represent average \pm SEM values and were analyzed by Student's *t*-test (n.s., not significant, * $P < 0.05$ and *** $P < 0.001$).




Figure S2. (A) MIC assay of GAS WT and Δemm1 mutant against histone mixture.




Figure S3. (A) Degradation of PMA-induced NETs with 100 U/mL DNase for 30 min after 3.5 h. Result shown represent average \pm SEM values and were analyzed by Student's *t*-test (n.s., not significant and *** P <0.001).




Figure S4. (A) M1 protein expression on GAS WT and $\Delta emm1$ mutant was analyzed by detection of M1 protein on bacterial surface with mouse anti-M1 serum followed by anti-mouse immunogold particles of 10 nm size and visualized by TEM at 11,000 and 23,000 and 49,000x magnification. (B) M1 protein expression on GAS WT and $\Delta emm1$ mutant was analyzed by flow cytometry and quantified relative to the fluorescent signal for GAS WT. Result shown in (B) represents average \pm SEM values and was analyzed by Student's *t*-test (***P*<0.001).

Supplementary Table 1. CFU counts in antibacterial experiments. CFU counts were listed in comparison to % survival of bacterial killing assay.

Figure	sample ID	mean surviving CFU	SD	% survival vs. inoculum
2D	GAS	4570.666667	1362.90314	90.20351
	MRSA	1836.605682	360.4460788	37.47276333
3E	GAS WT 1 h	1946666.667	485669.6408	94.35071333
	GAS WT 2 h	1575555.556	429537.8654	81.14296
	GAS WT 3 h	1391111.111	492682.5663	63.55810556
	Δ emm1 1 h	1367777.778	1081316.071	28.72291667
	Δ emm1 2 h	505555.5556	302411.6032	11.95432444
	Δ emm1 3 h	198888.8889	135595.3949	9.511582222
	3F	GAS WT 7.8 μ g/mL	2377777.778	668539.0373
GAS WT 15.6 μ g/mL		2466666.667	482182.538	100
GAS WT 32.1 μ g/mL		2422222.222	399304.9517	100
GAS WT 62.5 μ g/mL		2188888.889	365528.5367	99.29676222
GAS WT 125 μ g/mL		1522222.222	705137.8904	75.93925667
GAS WT 250 μ g/mL		1397777.778	470817.8464	73.32338444
GAS WT 500 μ g/mL		1108888.889	305468.6745	70.73791444
GAS WT 1000 μ g/mL		1181111.111	483953.625	61.77463
Δ emm1 7.8 μ g/mL		1644444.444	519882.4653	100
Δ emm1 15.6 μ g/mL		1628888.889	705344.6754	99.17459778
Δ emm1 31.2 μ g/mL		1677777.778	777460.2526	94.60317444
Δ emm1 62.5 μ g/mL		881111.1111	669242.9388	87.16276
Δ emm1 125 μ g/mL		520000	322529.0685	64.54842111
Δ emm1 250 μ g/mL		444444.4444	115337.6685	34.13562444
Δ emm1 500 μ g/mL		391111.1111	77208.23215	30.32377111
Δ emm1 1000 μ g/mL		190000	143961.8005	16.24008556
4A		GAS WT	34044.44444	7365.987902
	Δ emm1	18395.43829	2733.455904	47.96854111
	Δ emm1+M1	28130.2015	5991.37245	74.29271889
4B	GAS WT	33866.66667	7515.317691	76.83277333
	Δ emm1	24117.11998	5817.181713	67.68311222
	Δ emm1+M1	39563.12918	18553.77091	113.9521
4C	GAS WT	30400	4270.831301	85.88306333
	Δ emm1	26523.78092	6099.130126	75.33934
	Δ emm1+M1	42368.82239	11815.51156	115.68812
4D	GAS WT	59644.44444	27788.08698	95.46187778
	GAS WT+anti-M1	38577.77778	17402.4264	62.32847